


REMARKS

Claims 1-4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent 5,882,746. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a paper which is non-corrugated since a paper which is corrugated is not claimed.

Applicants encloses herewith a Terminal Disclaimer.

Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance.

EXPRESS MAIL" Mailing Label No. EV 798297960 US
Date of Deposit: June 12, 2006
I hereby certify that this paper (and any document(s) attached herewith
is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail
Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the
date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner
for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on
June 12, 2006
Signature: 
Name: Debbie Broderick

Respectfully submitted,



Philip M. Weiss

Reg. No. 34,751

Attorney for Applicant

Weiss & Weiss

300 Old Country Rd., Ste. 251

Mineola, NY 11501

(516) 739-1500